AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWD (Rev. 10/09)

United States of America

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	v. Alvaro Len-Gonzalez	Case No. 1:16-cr-00183-JTN		
	Defendant			
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Ellefendant be detained pending trial.	ail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require		
	Par	t I – Findings of Fact		
(1)		cribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of fense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had		
		C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for		
	which the prison term is 10 years or mor	e.		
	an offense for which the maximum sente	•		
	an offense for which a maximum prison	term of ten years or more is prescribed in:		
	a felony committed after the defendant h U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparab	ad been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 le state or local offenses.		
	any felony that is not a crime of violence a minor victim	but involves:		
		rearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon 8 U.S.C. § 2250		
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was commor local offense.	nitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state		
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).			
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable person or the community. I further find that de	presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another fendant has not rebutted that presumption.		
	Alte	ernative Findings (A)		
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the def	endant has committed an offense		
	for which a maximum prison term of ten Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 8			
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance.	on established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions ance and the safety of the community.		
,		ernative Findings (B)		
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will r			
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will e	ndanger the safety of another person or the community.		
		ent of the Reasons for Detention		
	find that the testimony and information submitted a preponderance of the evidence that:	ed at the detention hearing establishes by <a>-</a> clear and convincing		
	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not			
	ndant is subject to an ICE detainer and would need to be a subject to an ICE detainer and would need to be subject to an ICE detainer and would need to be subject to an ICE detainer and would need to be subject to an ICE detainer and would need to be subject to an ICE detainer and would need to be subject to an ICE detainer and would need to be subject to an ICE detainer and would need to be subject to an ICE detainer and would need to be subject to an ICE detainer and would need to be subject to an ICE detainer and would need to be subject to an ICE detainer and would need to be subject to an ICE detainer and would need to be subject to an ICE detainer and would need to be subject to an ICE detainer and would need to be subject to be	ot be released in any case.  Intion to the court's attention should his circumstances change.		
J. DOIGI	dant may bring the loods of the continuing dete	maon to the source attention should his offentions offentige.		

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	February 7, 2017	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	